

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Draft SIG(I) Directive #2 and Related DCID (previously provided)

FROM

22 May 1986

STAT

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

BO

22 May 1986 MJC

2.

B/S

T.C. via A/M on 4/22/86

3.

4.

Registry

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

NOTE:

will get copy from  
This should have gone  
thru DDA!

03 REGISTRY  
FILE

STAT

FORM 1-79 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

## ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

**ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET**

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Draft SIG(I) Directive #2 and Related DCID (previously provided)

FROM:

NO.

DATE

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

ExDir

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

STAT

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

22 MAY 1986

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director

ATTENTION:

STAT

FROM:

Director of Security

SUBJECT: Draft SIG(I) Directive #2 and Related  
DCID (previously provided)

REFERENCE: 21 May 1986 Note for D/S from EA/ExDir

The following are Office of Security comments on Subject drafts. They supplement items previously provided to the DDA which I understand you have in hand.

SEPARATION OF SCI FROM SIG(I)

° It is understood that coordination of SCI policy and procedures is to be within the purview of D/CCISCMS. However, neither the SIG(I) Directive nor the DCID explicitly acknowledges this. We believe it would be prudent to establish this "carve out" early on by including appropriate words in both documents.

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CCISCMS and NSC STAFF

° We believe that the SIG(I) Directive should clarify the relationship between the CCISCMS and the NSC Staff.

STAT

[redacted] has proposed that the CCISCMS have a direct channel to the NSC Staff for tasking and reporting.

--We believe CCISCMS and NSC Staff should work closely together to coordinate and expedite matters as they move through the system but no direct tasking or reporting - that would short-circuit the system.

STAT

cc: DDA


OS 6 2101

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

**Page Denied**

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Denied

25X1



#### R&D ORGANIZATION

°National policy regarding responsibilities for security R&D is, from our viewpoint, a critical issue.

-R&D elements are being planned within the proposed new SIG(I) structure.

25X1

-The [ ] report proposes a structure with executive agents assigned for R&D in various security disciplines.

-It is not clear how the two activities relate.

-If executive agents are designated, the SIG(I) might serve as a check-and-balance to see that the system is operating properly.

[ ] also raised a valid point that the proposed SIG(I) R&D structure may need some adjustment.

STAT

S E C R E T

-The draft plan calls for subcommittees to be established under each of the committees. A good idea.

[ ] argued that an R&D committee might not be needed if the subcommittees are established.

STAT

25X1 °The need for the R&D Committee and its specific mission will be influenced heavily by whether or not the [ ] approach is adopted.

-The executive agent approach is likely to provide a more focused approach to R&D.

-But it is also likely to give rise to a lot of inter-agency disputes if executive agents operate with a heavy hand.

-The SIG(I) might serve as a referee.

°In any case, the DDCI's proposal to transfer the present SECOM R&D subcommittee to the SIG(I), at least initially, is a good idea.

-But we need to pay very careful attention to what it does and how it fits in the larger policy debate.

-We do not believe it presently has the right representation to do the job.

#### WHAT'S IN A NAME?

°Both we and State are concerned about what might seem to be a very small issue, the name of the committee which handles COMSEC, computer security and TEMPEST.

°At the meeting on the 15th, we elected to change the name of that committee from the "Technical Committee" to the "Technical Security Committee."

°We believe that the term, "Technical Security", is more commonly applied to all of the activities now under [ ]

STAT  
STAT

°We believe that the "Technical Committee" would be more appropriately named the "Telecommunications and Computer Security Committee."

-This would better describe its function

-It would avoid confusion with the larger, and less-well-defined area of "technical security."

S E C R E T

-It would also help avoid some potential "turf" problems downstream. The name would better draw a distinction between the missions of the two committees.

S E C R E T

**IMMEDIATE**

Form  
3-65 160c

Central Intelligence Agency  
Washington, D.C. 20505

2140/1

Executive Director

14 May 1986

Note For: Chief, Counterintelligence Staff  
✓ Director, Office of Security

Subject: Draft SIG-I Directive and  
Associated DCID

Would each of you please give me your  
written comments on the attached by 21 May?

[Redacted box]

Attachment:  
DCI/ICS 86-0821



STAT



CONFIDENTIAL

25X1

ExDir

## The Director of Central Intelligence

Washington, D.C. 20505

Intelligence Community Staff

DCI/ICS-86-0821

13 May 1986

MEMORANDUM FOR: SIG-I Members

FROM:

Director, Community Counterintelligence and Security  
Countermeasures Staff

25X1

SUBJECT: Draft SIG-I Directive No. 2 and DCID

1. Forwarded for your information in preparation for the 14 May preparatory session with Mr. Gates and the 15 May SSCI hearing, and for your subsequent review and suggestions, are:

- SIG-I Directive No. 2 which divides the Interagency Group for Countermeasures into two parts. The IG/CM(T) will be responsible for principally those countermeasures of a technical nature, while the IG/CM(P) will be responsible for general security disciplines, such as personnel and information.
- The proposed SIG-I directive generally reflects the discussion and resulting concurrence as reached at the SIG-I meeting of 2 May on this subject. It has been reviewed by the DDCI for concept, and the provisions of paragraph 5, for example, reflect his and the DCI's intent for the IGs' structure and responsibilities of the chairmen.
- Proposed Director of Central Intelligence Directive which establishes the Community Counterintelligence and Security Countermeasures Staff (CCISCMS). The DDCI would appreciate your comments on this proposal before it is placed in the formal DCID coordination procedures. Again, as was discussed at the SIG-I meeting of 2 May, the DCI believes the SIG-I must have an adequate staff to carry out its support functions to the SIG-I and the IGs. This directive proposes an organizational structure to carry out that task. Subsequent to the 2 May SIG-I meeting, for legal reasons, coordination of SCI policy and procedures was removed from the SIG-I structure and will be governed as a direct responsibility of the DCI.

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL



25X1

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1

2. Please provide written comments to me, care of the CCISQMS/ICS, Rm.  
1225 Ames Building, Central Intelligence Agency, by close of business 23 May.  
Telephone concurrences may be made to

25X1

25X1

25X1

Attachments:

a/s

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1

CCISCMS,

STAT

Distribution of DCI/ICS-86-0821 (all w/atts):

- 1 - Director of Central Intelligence
- 1 - Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs
- 1 - Deputy Secretary of State
- 1 - Deputy Secretary of Defense
- 1 - Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff
- 1 - Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Policy)
- 1 - Director, National Security Agency
- 1 - Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation
- 1 - Executive Director, Central Intelligence Agency

UNCLASSIFIED

**Page Denied**

Next 13 Page(s) In Document Denied